

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

			•	•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,392	11/03/2003	Kazuo Hiraguchi	Q78054	2716
23373 7	590 09/20/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			GREENHUT, CHARLES N	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	I.W.		
SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			3652	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/698,392	HIRAGUCHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles N. Greenhut	3652			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/20/06. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	ate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:				

I. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation from the relevant paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 112:

(2) The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention.

1.1. With respect to claim 1-8, it is unclear whether applicants claim is directed to the

structure for holding a recording tape cartridge, a recording tape cartridge or some

combination thereof. It is assumed that applicant is claiming the subcombination of

the structure and not the combination of the structure, tapes and grasping mechanism.

1.2. With respect to claim 1, the phrase "the second recording chamber separately" lacks

antecedent basis.

1.3. With respect to claim 1, it is unclear whether the case is an element of the first or

second cartridge or the chamber.

1.4. With respect to claims 2-5 and 7, it is unclear how the structure of the tapes further

limit the structure of claim 1.

1.5. With respect to claim 6, it is unclear how the grasping device further limits the

structure of claim 1.

1.6. With respect to claim 1 and 8, applicants have not claimed the subject matter

applicants regards as their invention. The claims are directed to a structure for holding

a recording tape cartridge, and a method for providing that structure, respectively.

The structure for holding the cartridge is shown however, as prior art (112).

II. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by SMITH (US 6,141,180 A).
 - 1.1. With respect to claims 1 and 8, SMITH discloses an accommodating chamber (100) case (106), grasped portion (116), concave portion and chamfer (Fig. 1).
 - 1.2. With respect to claims 2, 3, 5, 9, 10 and 12 SMITH additionally discloses overhang portions and inclined walls at rear sides continuous from side walls (Fig. 4).
 - 1.3. With respect to claims 4, 7, 11 and 14, SMITH additionally discloses the overhang portions are reference surfaces and the inclined walls are guide surfaces (Fig. 4).
 - 1.4. With respect to claim 6 and 13, SMITH additionally discloses the claw portions inserted into the concave portions (Fig. 3).

III. Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments entered 6/20/06 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

- Applicant argues that claims 1-14, as amended, comply with the requirements of 35 USC 112
 2nd paragraph. This argument is not persuasive for the reasons indicated above.
- 2. Applicant argues that SMITH does not anticipate claims 1 and 8, as amended, because SMITH fails to disclose a structure which holds a first recording tape cartridge and a second

structurally different cartridge or method for providing such a structure. This argument is not persuasive. Chamber (100) is capable of accommodating two structurally different cartridges.

IV. Conclusion

- 1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 2. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles N. Greenhut whose telephone number is (571) 272-1517. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am 4:00pm EST.
- 4. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.
- 5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

Application/Control Number: 10/698,392

Art Unit: 3652

Page 5

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

CG

EILEEN D. LILLIS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000